

DISTRIBUTION F

UNIVERSITY OF CENTRAL FLORIDA
Faculty Senate Office

M E M O R A N D U M

TO: All Faculty
FROM: Glenn N. Cunningham, Chair of Faculty Senate
DATE: February 5, 1992
SUBJECT: FACULTY SENATE MEETING - February 13, 1992

The 1991-1992 Faculty Senate will hold a meeting:

DATE: February 13, 1992
TIME: 4:00 PM
ROOM: PH 115

1. Call to Order
2. Roll Call
3. Minutes - January 23, 1992
4. Recognition of Guests
5. Unfinished business:
6. Reports from Chairs of Faculty Senate Committees:

No reports from committees

7. New Business

FACULTY SENATE CONSTITUTIONAL REVISIONS

RESOLUTION 1991-1992-11

Whereas, the current UCF Faculty Constitution falls short of providing for a structure of responsive and informative resolution of university-wide concerns be it resolved that sections 3.10.3 through 3.10.10 of the current constitution be replaced with the attached sections which give a new structure and list of duties and responsibilities for our Faculty Senate and university-wide committees.

8. Announcements
9. Adjournment

FACULTY SENATORS

The resolution you are being asked to approve, 91-92-11, sections 3.10.3 through 3.10.10, suggest that it will "give a new structure and list of duties and responsibilities for our Faculty Senate and university-wide committees."

What it does not say, specifically, is that it changes the review committees for promotion and tenure, curriculum matters, research and awards, etc. I suggest that such **major changes** require careful examination and open deliberation by the faculty and the Faculty Senate. While I applaud the Constitutional Revision Committee's efforts, I propose that it is now time to allow for such examination and deliberation.

Since I, a Faculty Senator, only received a copy on Monday afternoon, and my faculty have not yet had a chance to review it in order to ^{to} direct ^{by} ~~at~~ their pleasure on this matter, and

Since page 11, Section 5.0 of the current Faculty Constitution, requires that "the text of a proposed amendment must be submitted in writing to the members of the Faculty Assembly at least thirty days prior to the meeting at which it will be considered." I move that this matter be tabled or postponed, to allow time for careful review of the faculty.

I do this to assure that everyone have ample opportunity to discuss this very important matter. Then we can be assured that the revisions will reflect the best interests of all our faculty.

Ida J. Cook