

2019-2020 Information Technology Committee
AGENDA

Meeting Date: Monday, January 6, 2020

Meeting Time: 2:00 – 3:00 pm.

Meeting Location: Business Administration I, room 230A

- **Call to Order**
- **Roll Call**
- **Approval of Minutes of *December 9, 2019***
- **Announcements and Recognition of Guests**
- **Old Business**
 - Resolution related to No-reply email from an office to an individual
 - Resolution related to Broadcast email
 - Data Classification Policy (from 9/30 meeting) <https://policies.ucf.edu/documents/4-008.pdf>
 - Mission of the Committee and how we can function more efficiently (items carried over from last academic year)
 - Description of the committee is available on Faculty Senate page: http://facultysenate.ucf.edu/committees/IT_committee.asp
- **New Business**
 - **None**
- **Other Business**
 - Upcoming meetings:
 - Jan 9 Steering
 - Jan 23 Senate
 - Jan 27 IT committee meeting
- **Adjournment**

UCF Faculty Senate
Information Technology Committee

Minutes of **December 9, 2019**
Business Administration I, room 230A

Melanie Guldi, chair, called the meeting to order at 2:05 pm. The roll was called orally.

In Attendance: Mehmet Altin, Dawn Eckhoff, Sandra Galura, Melanie Guldi (Senate Liaison), Joseph Harrington (Steering Liaison), Athena Hoepfner, Pieter Kik, Viatcheslav Kokoouline, Heath Martin, Matthew Nobles, Sumanta Pattanaik, and Michael Sink (ex officio) and Sherry Andrews (guest, General Council).

Minutes: Motion and second made to approve the minutes of November 18, 2019. The minutes were approved.

Old Business

- E-mail for separated employees – Sherry Andrews from the UCF General Counsel's (GC) office was introduced, and a brief recap of the committee's prior discussion regarding e-mail access, archiving, and forwarding for recently separated faculty followed.

Andrews was asked whether the University could legally offer a longer period of access to e-mail beyond what was guaranteed in the Collective Bargaining Agreement (CBA). She stated that UFF is the legal entity for bargaining, and UCF cannot extend benefits (i.e., e-mail access) beyond what is collectively bargained. Joseph Harrington suggested that paying more than agreed for contracted services was not a violation of contract per se. Pieter Kik noted that the CBA is a short document and many details of university/faculty relationships are not governed by CBA terms (i.e., offering @ucf.edu e-mail vs @knights.ucf.edu e-mail).

Several committee members identified standards for faculty at other universities to retain e-mail access beyond their date of separation. Andrews stated that the UCF Vice President of IT and UCF GC oppose extending e-mail access beyond separation. Andrews likened UCF faculty to employees for "any other company in the city or state." She cited IT security concerns as a reason for prohibiting permanent access, in addition to UCF accountability for compliance to Sunshine laws, UCF IT costs for data storage, and indeterminate legal authority to possess/access records under subpoena post-separation. Several committee members contrasted faculty roles to that of ordinary employees in a non-university corporate environment.

Andrews reiterated that a six month transition period post-separation is a courtesy to allow departed employees to notify senders of their new contact information. Joseph Harrington identified exceptions for department chairs and other administrators to retain e-mail access indefinitely, and Andrews stated that the GC was unaware of this exception. Several committee members outlined rationales for alternatives to current position, including providing faculty a choice to utilize services or not, or to tailor access to address specific goals, such as forwarding incoming @ucf.edu e-mail to an active account. Discussion of e-mail archiving and Sunshine Law compliance followed, and GC's position is that public records compliance applies only to current employees, while UCF employee e-mails are deleted upon separation.

Pieter Kik asked if the committee were to propose additional access to e-mail through a resolution to the UCF Faculty Senate, what legal hurdles would exist, presuming the Senate and the union are in agreement. Why not 2+ years if 6 months is already a functioning standard? Why not @ucf.edu if @knights is already a functioning standard? Andrews responded that this would require bargaining, and the Union and Board of Trustees (BoT) would need to agree to extend access. The alternative outcome would be an impasse and BoT would need to decide their position.

Several committee members noted that allowing e-mail access makes UCF more competitive in attracting excellent scholars, and that facilitating post-separation e-mail access follows higher ed best practices for many other universities. Andrews then stated that the CBA concerns retiree access but mid-career employees are not specifically addressed, therefore this would be a matter of UCF policy and subject to Faculty Senate processes as well as Microsoft licensing terms.

Joseph Harrington asked how UCF volunteers were categorized regarding @ucf.edu accounts, and suggested that this virtual role could be used as a model for departed employees (entailing access to e-mail, no software licensing).

Further discussion on UCF's reputation from the standpoint of federal granting agencies followed. Examples included NSF not being able to reach a former UCF faculty member after separation, which impacts future awards as well as UCF's external reputation. Melanie Guldi reasserted the need to do e-mail forwarding from an @ucf.edu address to a new/working e-mail address outside the UCF ecosystem. Forwarding would be decoupled from Microsoft software licensing and it would not feature e-mail storage/archiving on a UCF server. However, staff raised the concern that UCF address e-mail forwarding could adversely affect spam reputation scores.

Athena Hoeppner raised analogues to other, external types of continuity IDs (ORCID, etc.) as part of the "digital identity" of scholars employed by UCF. A compromise was suggested involving a forward from @ucf.edu to @knights along with a notification to an external address, to prevent spam score impact. From a technical perspective, is it possible to utilize the accounts licensed from Microsoft in this way?

Questions remaining on this issue: legal implications, licensing implications, implications for interacting with UCF Office of Research regarding grants/awards for separating employees. According to Andrews, CBA seems not to apply to separations for non-retirees, thus non-CBA provisions are a matter of UCF policy. These implications will be investigated by UCF IT. Policies from peer universities will also be researched.

New Business

- None

Other Business

- None

ADJOURNMENT

The meeting adjourned at 3:11 pm.

Resolution 2019-2020-X Automatic and Broadcast Email

Whereas, in certain situations some of individuals, to whom UCF broadcast messages are addressed, are required to respond to a broadcasted message, for example, asking for essential details regarding the information in the message and to provide a more efficient way to deal with broadcasted messages; therefore

BE IT RESOLVED that the UCF Division of Information Technologies & Resources Policy 4-006.2 “Broadcast Distribution of Electronic Mail” be amended as follows:

At the end of the “Procedure” section on page 3 add the following:

Each broadcast message should include the name, the position, and the contact information of the person responsible to broadcasting information or of the person to whom the response messages should be addressed.

OPT-OUT/OPT-IN PROCEDURE

My.ucf.edu webpage shall contain a dash-board allowing the UCF faculty and staff to choose mailing lists to sign-up and sign-out to active UCF mailing lists. All UCF-wide mailing lists should be included in that list. Some of the mailing lists, such as the emergency-information broadcast messages, cannot be opt-out, but they have still be specified at the dash-board. Departments and other UCF units should be able to request to include their mailing lists in the opt-in/opt-out section of the dash-board.

Resolution 2019-2020-X University email accounts for faculty leaving the University

Whereas, the faculty, leaving the university due to his/her retirement or changing the employer, often needs to be reachable by his/her official UCF email even after being employed by UCF; therefore

BE IT RESOLVED that the UCF Division of Information Technologies & Resources Policy 4-016.1 “Email Provisioning, De-provisioning, and Use Policy” be amended as follows:

On page 7 Section **Retired Faculty and Staff** is changed from

Faculty and staff members who have retired from the university will be permitted to retain a university email account as described in UCF policy 3-001.2 University Benefits for Retired Employees and the current UCF-UFF Collective Bargaining Agreement.

to

Faculty and staff members who have retired from the university will be permitted to retain a university email account as described in the current UCF-UFF Collective Bargaining Agreement. Faculty who have retired from the university or left the university before the retirement will be permitted under request of his/her former supervisor to retain a university email account up to 2 years after leaving the university. The request to retain his/her much be university email account submitted before the last working day of employment at UCF.