



University Master Planning Committee

**MEETING MINUTES
UMPC meeting
March 18, 2019 3:00 p.m.
Harris Engineering Building Room 450**

Attendees: Patrick Bohlen, Kyu Young Han, Michael Johnson, Susan Hutson, Alfons Schulte, Gene Kruckemyer, Alex Parlato, Jennifer Steele and Barbara Brown as recorder - Skype call-in attendees: Rudy McDaniel, Neida Mora-Maus, and Tarek Buhagiar

Meeting was called to order by Patrick Bohlen at 3:00 pm.

AGENDA ITEM #1 – REVIEW AND Approval of February 11, 2019 UMPC Minutes

- Patrick Bohlen asked the committee to review the minutes for the February 11th UMPC meeting. Michael Johnson moved to accept the February minutes and Alfons Schulte seconded the motion. February minutes were approved by unanimous vote.

AGENDA ITEM #2 - REVIEW OF CAPITAL PROJECT LIST FROM THE CAMPUS MASTER PLAN

- Susan Hutson stated that the Element Leaders are working on sections of the Campus Master Plan (CMP). She presented the new formatting for the elements of the CMP which will include:
 - An introduction to each element
 - A table of content
 - A split page format complete with pictures
 - Lager maps
 - Goal of the element will be boxed-off and highlighted
- Element leaders were presented with the new format and agreed on the changes.
- The schedule for the Campus Master Plan is as follows:
 - April - Elements submitted.
 - May Open house (maps will be presented)
 - July - Formal Public hearing
 - 90 day review w/comments
 - November – Second Public hearing with Board of Trustees (BOT).
 - Send letters to any objections by the public.
- Susan explained the Capital Improvement List (CIL) and the Capital Improvement Plan (CIP) were often thought of as the same document but in fact, were written for different purposes. She explained that the CIL is a visionary statement of what will be built and the CIP is list of projects scheduled to be completed. Susan also stated

that both documents also contain some of the same information. In order to mitigate some of the confusion and redundancy Susan suggested the following:

- Name change of the CIL to Schedule of Capital Improvements (SCI)
 - SCI will include the project name and a brief description.
 - New SCI does not include a dollar amount
- The Autonomous Vehicle Project will be presented to the BOT on Thursday March 21st and will include proposed routes and how it will fit into the master plan.
 - The idea of creating additional green space by using Interception Garages was presented and discussed. Interception Garages would be built at the perimeter of the campus (e.g. Alafaya/Centaurus; Alafaya/University; Orion/McCulloch), and would allow students to park their cars and take a shuttle into the core campus. This eliminates the need for inner campus parking lots which in turn could be converted to green space.
 - Susan informed the committee that the area by Research I and the area between Trevor Colburn Hall and Visual Arts have allocated green space in the CMP.

AGENDA ITEM #3 – ARBORETUM REVIEW OF CONSERVATION ELEMENT MAP AND CONTENT

- Patrick presented the committee with an updated conservation map showing areas of upland, wetlands, conservation easements, president's land use, and future land use.
- Patrick stated it was very important to regional conservation to maintain and widen the current conservation path to the corridor to preserve protected species. This path extends from the upland through the presidents future use land
- Patrick also said that the map should reflect a two hundred foot (200 ft.) buffer between the conservation areas and adjacent neighborhoods. This buffer would not apply to the north side because Duke Energy has the easement.
- Susan suggested changing the name of the presidents future use land to the President's Reserve. The committee was receptive to the new name.
- The question was asked what the pink lines meant on the map. Patrick explained it was the conservation buffer. Susan suggested adding this information to the map legend.

OTHER ITEMS

- Future land use will be discussed in future meetings and Patrick will have updates to the maps.
- The question was asked if off campuses had their own CMPs. Patrick stated the CMP applies only to the main campus and that the off campuses adhere to the regional Master Plans. He clarified that the other campuses may have site plans, but do not have individual CMPs.

MEETING WAS ADJOURNED AT 4:45 P.M.