

UNIVERSITY OF CENTRAL FLORIDA
Faculty Senate Office

M E M O R A N D U M

TO: All Faculty
FROM: Glenn N. Cunningham, Chair of Faculty Senate
DATE: February 28, 1992
SUBJECT: FACULTY SENATE MEETING - March 5, 1992

=====
The 1991-1992 Faculty Senate will meet:

DATE: March 5, 1992
TIME: 4:00 PM
ROOM: PH 115

1. Call to Order
2. Roll Call
3. Minutes
4. Recognition of Guests
5. Unfinished business:

CONSTITUTIONAL REVISIONS

6. Reports from Chairs of Faculty Senate Committees.
7. New Business

RESOLUTION 1991-1992-12

Acceptance, Appointment and Evaluation of Fully Endowed Chairs

WHEREAS, fully self-supporting endowed chairs affirm the university's commitment to excellence, be it resolved:

(1) Fully endowed chairs will be appointed for a five to seven year term if the university, college, affected department, and the major donor agree. This appointment may be renewable for successive terms. By definition, fully endowed chairs provide a self-supporting scholarly activity without cost to the university.

(2) When agreement has been reached to accept a major gift of a fully endowed chair, the president shall establish a committee to process each application and nomination. Committee membership should include leading authorities in the academic discipline from inside and outside the university. All other procedures as specified in Faculty Senate Resolution 1991-1992-8 (Revised) shall be followed regarding selection and performance review of fully endowed Chairs.

Proposed by Faculty Senate Personnel Committee.

RESOLUTION 1991-1992-13
Administrative Review

Whereas the faculty handbook and previous senate resolutions address review of academic administrators, the level of administrator to be reviewed, is not specified; and whereas the unit/committee responsible for initiating and establishing the process or the dissemination of review results, has not been established, be it resolved that

- a. All administrators at the level of Vice-President, Academic dean and Director-Resident Center shall be subject to a major review no later than five years after first appointment or five years after the last major review.
- b. The primary purpose of such a review is to assist the administrator in improving his/her performance and effectiveness as well as establishing whether, and if so, for how long, the appointment of the administrator should be continued.
- c. The responsibility for the initiation of the review should rest with the Personnel Committee of the Faculty Senate. The composition of the impartial review committee will be subject to the approval of the President of the University.
- d. The composition of any review committee should reflect the constituency served by that office and the procedures of the review should insure the input of these constituencies in assessing the administrator/functioning of the office.
- e. It is expected that the Faculty Senate Personnel Committee will establish a sub-committee to determine the representation of the designated administrative review committee as well as monitor its progress.
- f. A copy of the evaluation results should be provided to the administrator's immediate superior, the administrator being reviewed and the Chair of the Faculty Senate. A brief summary of the review and recommendations should be included.

Proposed by the Faculty Senate Personnel Committee.

RESOLUTION 1991-1992-14

Whereas one week in each of fall and spring semesters is reserved for comprehensive final examinations, and whereas there appears to be a substantial majority of responding faculty who believe faculty should have the opportunity to give three hour comprehensive final examinations,

Be it resolved that future comprehensive examination periods be scheduled in three hour blocks.

Proposed by the Faculty Senate Instruction Committee.

8. Announcements

Election process for new senators has begun.

9. Adjournment