



University Master Planning Committee

**MEETING MINUTES
UMPC meeting
October 8, 2018 3:00 p.m.
Harris Engineering Building Room 450**

Attendees: Patrick Bohlen, Christy Collier, Lindsey Ritzert, Jennifer Steele, Gene Kruckemyer, Andrew Randall, Alfons Schulte, Farshid Safi, Barbara Brown as recorder

Meeting was called to order by Patrick Bohlen at 3:05 pm.

AGENDA ITEM #1 – Approval of September 10, 2018 UMPC Minutes

- Patrick Bohlen called for a motion to approve the minutes for the September 10th meeting. Gene Kruckenmyer moved to accept the minutes and Andrew Randall seconded the motion.

AGENDA ITEM #2 – RELOCATION OF THE JOHANN EYEFELLS SCULPTURE

- Patrick presented the request for the Johann Eyefells sculpture to be relocated in a small isolated grassy spot in the front of the Visual Arts Building.
- Christy Collier asked if the grassy area would be completely mulched and Patrick replied that only around and under the sculpture would be mulched. The rest of the area would remain grass.
- Gene moved that the sculpture relocation be approved and Christy seconded the motion. The motion carried with a unanimous vote.

AGENDA ITEM #2 – CAMPUS MASTER PLAN UPDATE

- Patrick asked the committee to carefully review the Executive Summary and Academic Program Element and be prepared to add input at future UMPC meetings. He pointed out that one of the objectives 1.5, in the Academic Mission Element, concerns the practice of developing an overall Campus Master Plan (CMP) to be submitted to the Board of Governors (BOG) every five (5) years.
- Patrick also mentioned that the CMP was often reviewed in-house and then submitted to the BOG without UMPC review. Patrick stressed that the charter of the UMPC is to review and make recommendations to the CMP and asked the committee to come up with suggestions on how the UMPC can become more engaged in the process. The entire CMP can be viewed at fp.ucf.edu/planning
- Patrick pointed out some examples that require attention and stated that Susan Hutson is working on revising and improving the objectives and maps of the CMP. Some of the areas the committee can focus on include:

- Urban Design Maps – buffer zones are called out but not shown.
- Recreation and Open Spaces - consider using buildings for visuals as opposed to numbers; land conservation use and show conservation easements on maps.
- Patrick also emphasized that any proposal for land use within the next ten (10) years should be submitted to the UMPC for recommendation. For example: the new Dining, Housing, Residence Life & Creative School for Children Partnership location was submitted to the BOG with two (2) locations, the old Wayne Densch location or Ferrell Commons location and was not submitted to the UMPC for discussion or approval. If it were submitted to the UMPC, the recommendation could be that the old Wayne Densch location is feasible. The traffic flow is safer to drop children off, and would not involve student relocation from Ferrell Commons.
- Another example would be the location of Research II. The UMPC committee could recommend the location next to Research I where equipment and research assets could be shared. The CMP is looking at ARA drive for a research center where utility and irrigation lines would need to be installed.
- The committee had comments about housing on campus:
 - Why create Greek Park II and not build townhouses?
 - Since 53% of students live off campus and only 13% live in on campus facilities could the price for housing be lowered?
- The committee was in favor of the Dining, Housing, and Residence Life and Creative School for Children Partnership for recruiting purposes, and for housing graduate and international students.
- Patrick reiterated that he would be concentrating on the involvement of the UMPC in the CMP and other minor projects and hopes the committee will help introduce the plan.

MEETING WAS ADJOURNED AT 4:20 P.M.